IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2713 OF 2005

Ramesh Gautam Yadav ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr.Kuldeep Patil, for Mr. Rajesh Shrivastav, for the Applicant.

Mr.K.V. Saste, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

28th April 2005

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the record including the medical certificate. There are strong circumstances which would indicate complicity of the Applicnt in the commission of the crime. I see no reason to depart from the conclusion reached by the Court below in rejecting the prayer for bail at the

instance of the present Applicant. The offence in question is a serious offence and as there is material to connect the Applicant with the offence, not a case for bail. Hence, rejected.

. As prayer for bail is rejected, Sessions Judge, Thane, is directed to assign the case to the Fast Track Court, if not already done, which shall dispose of the trial as High Court Expedited Case.

. The Applicant shall co-operate for early disposal of the trial. If the trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant or the co-accused, who are father and mother of the Applicant, are responsible for the delay in disposal of the trial, that fact be recorded in the roznama, which will be taken into account as and when occasion arises.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)